FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
L.P.A. NO.109 OF 2004 a/w CIVIL APPLICATION
No.167 of 2004

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mrs S.A.Mudbidri, for the appellants.
None for the respondents.

CORAM: V.G.PALSHIKAR &
D.B.BHOSALE,JJ.
DATED: 18.10.2005

P.C.:

Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the appellant sought time to substitute legal representatives of appellant no.2. However, we see no reason why the matter should be postponed for such substitution, in view of the fact that the impugned order is, obviously, one passed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The settled position of law, in so far as this Court is concerned, is that such Letters Patent Appeal against the order under Article 227, is not maintainable. Hence, the appeal rejected.

The civil application, if any, accordingly, disposed of.

(D.B.BHOSALE,J.) (V.G.PALSHIKAR,J.)